

N_δ -CONTINUITY IN NEUTROSOPHIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

K. DAMODHARAN, M. VIGNESHWARAN[†], AND SAMER AL GHOUR

Date of Receiving : 20. 11. 2020
 Date of First Revision : 11. 12. 2020
 Date of Second Revision : 27. 02. 2021
 Date of Acceptance : 03. 03. 2021

Abstract. The research work proposes the theory of N_δ -continuous functions(briefly $N_{\delta-CF}$) and neutrosophic almost continuous functions(briefly N_{ACF}) in neutrosophic topological spaces(briefly N_{TS}). The characterizations of $N_{\delta-CF}$ and N_{ACF} are analyzed and the properties are inspected.

1. Introduction

In 1965 Zadeh[10] with his vital theory on fuzzy sets (FS) that has non-probabilistic uncertainty into the mathematical pattern where the said concept never gets exorted by the randomness of an event. A degree of belongingness with some value prevails between 0 and 1 for each and every element in this, universe which is the membership value of an element in that set, which is known as FS , to analyze vague data in applications. As to handle uncertain circumstances which up vote from the prevailing vagueness or from a partial belongingness, FS is highly likely to handle successfully. Although, modelling a mathematical element is uncertain for every kind of real physical problems.

In 1986 Atanassov[8], generalized FS which in turn led to the emergence of the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFS). In FS it is a known fact that it has a membership value for every element based on its vagueness and belongingness. Whereas, Atanassov states that there is a non-membership value devoted to each element. When the sum of membership value and non-membership value is less or equal to unity, a constraint occurs. In IFS , degree of non-belongingness is dependent on the degree of belongingness. The IFS by nature has the ability to analyze the complete and incomplete vague data. IFS s are resourceful in applications such as expert systems, belief systems and information fusion etc.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06D72, 03E72.

Key words and phrases. Neutrosophic delta continuous, Neutrosophic almost continuous.

Communicated by. F. Smarandache and S. Broumi

[†] *Corresponding author.*

In Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces(*IFTS*) was introduced by Coker[4] in 1997. In 2012 Eom and Lee[14] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy $\delta(IF_\delta)$ -closure as a generalization of the concept of fuzzy δ -closure by Ganguly and Saha[11] in 1988. Also Eom and Lee[13] introduced and investigated the properties of IF_δ -continuous and intuitionistic fuzzy almost continuous functions(IFACF) in terms of IF_δ -closure and interior.

A theory has been novelized by Smarandache[5], which is a knowledge of impartial thought known as Neutrosophic Logic and sets which represents a distinct character. The logic behind the Neutrosophic sets(briefly N_S) is that every elements proposition is calculated to have a degree of truth (T), a degree of indeterminacy (I) and a degree of falsity (F), which lies between $[0, 1]$. The indeterminacy factor, is independent of truth and falsity values in a N_S . The constraints are nullified among the degree of truth, degree of indeterminacy and degree of falsity. The N_S has a wide range of real time applications in fields such as Applied Mathematics, Artificial Intelligence, Computer Science, decision making, Electrical, Electronics, Information Systems, Mechanics, Medicine and Management Science etc.

F. Smarandache[6], stated that N_S is also a generalization of Inconsistent Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (Picture Fuzzy Set, Ternary Fuzzy Set), Pythagorean Fuzzy Set (Atanassov's Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set of second type), q-Rung Orthopair Fuzzy Set, Spherical Fuzzy Set, and n-HyperSpherical Fuzzy Set, while Neutrosophication is a Generalization of Regret Theory, Grey System Theory, and Three-Ways Decision. And Refined Neutrosophic Set (RNS) is an extension of Neutrosophic Set. And all these sets are more general than Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set. The main distinction between Neutrosophic Set N_S and all previous set theories are stuided.

A different new concept of N_{TS} was stated by Salama and Alblowi[1], which is a generalization of Coker's[4] intuitionistic fuzzy topology and Chang's[3] fuzzy topology. Further, Salama et al.[2] introduced The neutrosophic closed sets(briefly N_{CS} 's) and neutrosophic continuous functions, Nandhini et .al[12] introduced $N_{\alpha g^\# \psi}$ -continuous in N_{TS} .

As a genralization of IF_δ -closure and interior, Recently Damodharan and Vigneshwaran[9] introduced the concept of N_δ -closure and interior in N_{TS} .

The purpose of this paper is to propose the idea of $N_{\delta-CF}$ and N_{ACF} in terms of N_δ -closure and N_δ -interior

2. Preliminaries

A novel idea is researched with having the basic concepts of Salame et .al[2] as how the N_S can be defined. Operations such as union, intersection and subsets of two N_S 's. The concept of Chang[3], is incorporated to define the complement of N_S 's. The basic properties of N_{TS} is adapted for the research work to determine the N_δ -closure and N_δ -interior. To strengthen the research work being carried out, the Ideas and conceptual framework of the previous article Damodharan and Vigneshwaran[9] were unearthed, which involves Neutrosophic regular open q-neighborhood(briefly N_{RO}^q -nhd), concept of quasi coincident between N_P and N_S and between two N_S 's.

3. N_δ -continuous functions

Definition 3.1. A N_S P is said to be neutrosophic δ -neighborhood (briefly N_δ -nhd) of a neutrosophic point (briefly N_P) $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$, if there exists N_{RO}^q -nhd Q of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $Q \subseteq P$.

Lemma 3.2. A N_S A is an N_δ -open set (briefly $N_{\delta-OS}$) in (S, τ) iff for any N_P $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ with $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} q A$, A is a N_δ -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$.

Proof. Let A be $N_{\delta-OS}$ in (S, τ) such that $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} q A$. Then $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \not\subseteq A^c$. Since A^c is N_δ -closed set (briefly $N_{\delta-CS}$), we have $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \notin A^c = Ncl_\delta(A^c)$. Then there exists a N_{RO}^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $P \not\subseteq A^c$. Thus $P \subseteq A$. Hence A is a N_δ -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$. Conversely, to show that A^c is a $N_{\delta-CS}$, take any $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \notin A^c$. Then we have $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} q A$. Thus A is a N_δ -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$. Therefore there exists a neutrosophic open q (briefly N_O^q)-nhd Q of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $Q \subseteq A^c$, i.e. $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \notin Ncl_\delta(A^c)$. Since $Ncl_\delta(A^c) \subseteq A^c$, we have A^c is a $N_{\delta-CS}$. Hence P is a $N_{\delta-OS}$.

As to recall the concepts of fuzzy δ -continuous function Ganguly and Saha[11] and intuitionistic fuzzy δ -continuous function of Eom and Lee[13], a similar definition is defined in the N_{TS} as follows:

Definition 3.3. A function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ is said to be a $N_{\delta-CF}$ if for each N_P $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ in S and for any N_{RO}^q -nhd Q of $f(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$, there exists a N_{RO}^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $k(P) \subseteq Q$.

Theorem 3.4. For the function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) k is a $N_{\delta-CF}$.
- (2) $k(Ncl_\delta(P)) \subseteq Ncl_\delta(k(P))$ for each N_S P in S .
- (3) $Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_\delta(Q))$ for each N_S Q in T .
- (4) $k^{-1}(Nint_\delta(Q)) \subseteq Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(Q))$ for each N_S Q in T .

Proof.

- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \in Ncl_\delta(P)$, and let B be a N_{RO}^q q -neighborhood of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$ in T . By (1), there exists a N_{RO}^q q -neighborhood A of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $k(A) \subseteq B$. Since $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \in Ncl_\delta(P)$ and A is a N_{RO}^q -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$, AqP . So $k(A) q k(P)$. Since $k(A) \subseteq B$, $Bqk(P)$. Then $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}) \in Ncl_\delta(k(P))$. Hence $k(Ncl_\delta(P)) \subseteq Ncl_\delta(k(P))$.
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let Q be a N_S in T . Then $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_S in S . By (2), $k(Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(Q))) \subseteq Ncl_\delta(k(k^{-1}(Q))) \subseteq Ncl_\delta(Q)$. Thus $Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_\delta(Q))$.
- (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ be a N_P in S , and let Q be a N_{RO}^q -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$ in T . Since Q^c is a neutrosophic regular closed set (briefly N_{RCS}), Q^c is a neutrosophic semi-open set. By Theorem 3.3 [9], $Ncl(Q^c) = Ncl_\delta(Q^c)$. Therefore $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \notin k^{-1}(Ncl_\delta(Q^c))$. By (3), $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \notin Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(Q^c))$. Then there exists a N_{RO}^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $P \not\subseteq k^{-1}(Q^c) = (k^{-1}(Q))^c$. So $P \subseteq k^{-1}(Q)$, i.e. $k(P) \subseteq Q$. Hence k is a $N_{\delta-CF}$.
- (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4): Let Q be a N_S in T . By (3), $Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(Q^c)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_\delta(Q^c))$. Thus $k^{-1}(Nint_\delta(Q)) = k^{-1}((Ncl_\delta(Q^c))^c) = (k^{-1}(Ncl_\delta((Q^c))))^c \subseteq$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(Q^c)))^c = \\
& (Ncl_\delta((k^{-1}(Q))^c))^c = Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(Q)). \\
(4) \Rightarrow (3): & \text{ Let } Q \text{ be a } N_S \text{ in } T. \text{ Then } Q^c \text{ is a } N_S \text{ in } T. \text{ By the} \\
& \text{hypothesis, } k^{-1}(Nint_\delta(Q^c)) \subseteq Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(Q^c)). \text{ Thus } Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(Q)) = \\
& (Nint_\delta((k^{-1}(Q))^c))^c = \\
& (Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(Q^c)))^c \subseteq (k^{-1}(Nint_\delta(Q^c)))^c = k^{-1}((Nint_\delta(Q^c))^c) = \\
& k^{-1}(Ncl_\delta(Q)). \\
& \text{Hence } Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_\delta(Q)).
\end{aligned}$$

The $N_{\delta-CF}$ is characterized in terms of N_δ -open and $N_{\delta-CSS}$.

Theorem 3.5. For the function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) k is a $N_{\delta-CF}$.
- (2) $k^{-1}(A)$ is a $N_{\delta-CS}$ for each $N_{\delta-CS}$ A in S .
- (3) $k^{-1}(A)$ is a $N_{\delta-OS}$ for each $N_{\delta-OS}$ A in S .

Proof.

- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let A be a $N_{\delta-CS}$ in S . Then $A = Ncl_\delta(A)$. By Theorem 3.4, $Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(A)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_\delta(A)) = k^{-1}(A)$. Hence $k^{-1}(A) = Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(A))$. Therefore $k^{-1}(A)$ is a $N_{\delta-CS}$.
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let A be a $N_{\delta-OS}$ in S . Then $Nint_\delta(A) = A$, we know that $Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(A)) = (Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(A))^c)^c$. By using (2) $(Ncl_\delta(k^{-1}(A))^c)^c = ((k^{-1}(A))^c)^c = k^{-1}(A)$. Therefore $Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(A)) = k^{-1}(A)$. Hence $k^{-1}(A)$ is a $N_{\delta-OS}$ in S .
- (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ be a N_P in S , and let Q be a N_O^q -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. By Corollary 3.3 of [9], Q is an $N_{\delta-OS}$. By the hypothesis, $k^{-1}(Q)$ is an $N_{\delta-OS}$. since $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}qk^{-1}(Q)$, By Lemma 3.2, we have that $k^{-1}(Q)$ is an N_δ -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$. Therefore, there exists a N_{RO}^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $P \subseteq k^{-1}(Q)$. Hence $k(P) \subseteq Q$.

The $N_{\delta-CF}$ is characterized in terms of N_δ -nhds.

Theorem 3.6. A function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ is $N_{\delta-CF}$ iff for each N_P $s_{(\alpha,\beta,\lambda)}$ of S and each N_δ -nhd N of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$, the N_S $k^{-1}(N)$ is an N_δ -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$.

Proof. Let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ be a N_P in S , and let N be an N_δ -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. Then there exists a N_{RO}^q -nhd Q of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$ such that $Q \subseteq N$. Since k is an $N_{\delta-CF}$, there exists a N_{RO}^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $k(P) \subseteq Q$. Thus, $P \subseteq k^{-1}(Q) \subseteq N$. Hence $k^{-1}(N)$ is an N_δ -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$.

Conversely, let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ be an neutrosopic point in S , and Q a N_O^q -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. Then Q is an N_δ -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. By the hypothesis, $k^{-1}(Q)$ is an N_δ -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$. By the definition of N_δ -nhd, there exists a N_{RO}^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $P \subseteq k^{-1}(Q)$. Thus $k(P) \subseteq Q$. Hence k is an $N_{\delta-CF}$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ be a bijective function, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) k is an $N_{\delta-CF}$.
- (2) $Nint_\delta(k(P)) \subseteq k(Nint_\delta(P))$ for each N_S P in S .

Proof.

- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let P be a N_S in S . Then $k(P)$ is a N_S in T . By Theorem 3.4, $k^{-1}(Nint_\delta(k(P))) \subseteq Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(k(P)))$. Since k is one-to-one, $k^{-1}(Nint_\delta(k(P))) \subseteq Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(k(P))) = Nint_\delta(P)$. Since k is onto, $Nint_\delta(k(P)) = k(k^{-1}(Nint_\delta(k(P)))) \subseteq k(Nint_\delta(P))$.
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let Q be a N_S in T . Then $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_S in S . By the hypothesis, $Nint_\delta(k(k^{-1}(Q))) \subseteq k(Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(Q)))$. Since k is onto, $Nint_\delta(Q) = Nint_\delta(k(k^{-1}(Q))) \subseteq k(Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(Q)))$. Since k is one-to-one, $k^{-1}(Nint_\delta(Q)) = k^{-1}(k(Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(Q)))) \subseteq Nint_\delta(k^{-1}(Q))$

Hence by Theorem 3.4, k is an N_δ -CF.

4. Neutrosophic almost continuous functions

As we recall the concept of Eom and Lee[13] *IFACF* and define a similar definition in the N_{TS} as follows.

Definition 4.1. A function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ is said to be N_{ACF} if for any neutrosophic regular open set (briefly N_{ROS}) Q in T , $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a neutrosophic open set (briefly N_{OS}) in S .

Theorem 4.2. A function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ is a N_{ACF} iff for each N_P $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ in S and for any N_O^q -nhd q of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$, there exists a N_O^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $k(P) \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Q))$.

Proof. Let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ be a N_P in S and for any N_O^q -nhd Q of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$ there exists $Q \in T$ such that $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}) \in Q$. Hence $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \in k^{-1}(Q)$. Since $Q \in T$ then $Q \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Q))$ and $k^{-1}(Q) \subseteq k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$. Thus $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \in k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$. Since $Nint(Ncl(Q))$ is a N_{OS} then $k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$ is a N_{OS} in S and $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \in k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$. Consequently, there exists $P \in S$ such that $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \in P \subseteq k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$ Hence $k(P) \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Q))$.

Theorem 4.3. For the function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) k is a N_{ACF} .
- (2) $k(Ncl(P)) \subseteq Ncl_\delta(k(P))$ for each N_S P in S .
- (3) $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_{CS} in S for each $N_{\delta-CS}$ Q in T .
- (4) $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_{OS} in S for each $N_{\delta-OS}$ Q in T .

Proof.

- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \in Ncl(P)$. Suppose that $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}) \notin Ncl_\delta(k(P))$, then there exists a N_O^q -nhd Q of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$ such that $Q \not\subseteq Ncl_\delta(k(P))$. Since k is a N_{ACF} , $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_{OS} in S . Since $Q \not\subseteq Ncl_\delta(k(P))$, we have $k^{-1}(Q) \not\subseteq Ncl_\delta(P)$. Thus $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_O^q -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$. Since $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \in Ncl(P)$, by Theorem 3.1[?], we have $k^{-1}(Q) \cap P \neq \emptyset$. Thus $k(k^{-1}(Q)) \cap k(P) \neq \emptyset$. Since $k(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq Q$, we have $Q \cap k(P) \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction. Hence $k(Ncl(P)) \subseteq Ncl_\delta(k(P))$.

- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let Q be an $N_{\delta-CS}$ in T . Then $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_S in S . By the hypothesis, $k(Ncl(k^{-1}(Q))) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(k(k^{-1}(Q))) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(Q) = Q$. Thus $Ncl(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Q)$. Hence $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_{CS} in S .
- (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4): Let Q be an $N_{\delta-OS}$ in T . Then Q^c is an $N_{\delta-CS}$ in T . By the hypothesis, $k^{-1}(Q^c) = (k^{-1}(Q))^c$ is a N_{CS} in S . Hence $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_{OS} in S .
- (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ be a N_P in S , and let Q be a N_O^q -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$ in T . Then $Nint(Ncl(Q))$ is a N_{RO}^q -nhd $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. By Corollary 3.3[9], $Nint(Ncl(Q))$ is an $N_{\delta-OS}$ in T . By the hypothesis, $k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$ is a N_{OS} in S . Since $Nint(Ncl(Q))qk(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$, we have $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}qk^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$. Thus $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ does not belong to the set $(k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q))))^c$. Put $B = (k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q))))^c$. Since B is a N_{CS} and $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)} \notin B = Ncl(B)$, there exists a N_O^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $P\bar{q}B$. Then $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}qP \subseteq B^c = k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$. Thus $k(P) \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Q))$. Hence, k is a N_{ACF} .

Theorem 4.4. For the function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) k is a N_{ACF} .
- (2) $Ncl(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_{\delta}(Q))$
- (3) $Nint_{\delta}(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Nint(Q))$

Proof.

- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let Q be a N_S in T . Then $k^{-1}(Q)$ is a N_S in S . By Theorem 4.3, $k(Ncl(k^{-1}(Q))) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(k(k^{-1}(Q))) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(Q)$. Thus $Ncl(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_{\delta}(Q))$.
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let P be a N_S in S . Then $k(P)$ is a N_S in T . By the hypothesis, $Ncl(k^{-1}(k(P))) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_{\delta}(k(P)))$. Then $Ncl(P) \subseteq Ncl(k^{-1}(k(P))) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_{\delta}(k(P)))$. Thus $Ncl(P) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(k(P))$. By Theorem 4.3, k is a N_{ACF} .
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let Q be a N_S in T . Then Q^c is a N_S in T . By the hypothesis, $Ncl(k^{-1}(Q^c)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Ncl_{\delta}(Q^c))$. Thus $k^{-1}(Nint_{\delta}(Q)) = k^{-1}((Ncl_{\delta}(Q^c))^c) = (k^{-1}(Ncl_{\delta}((Q^c))))^c \subseteq (Ncl(k^{-1}(Q^c)))^c = (Ncl((k^{-1}(Q))^c))^c = Nint(k^{-1}(Q))$.
- (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let Q be a N_S in T . Then Q^c is a N_S in T . By the hypothesis, $k^{-1}(Nint_{\delta}(Q^c)) \subseteq Nint(k^{-1}(Q^c))$. Thus $Ncl(k^{-1}(Q)) = (Nint((k^{-1}(Q))^c))^c = (Nint(k^{-1}(Q^{-1})))^c \subseteq (k^{-1}(Nint_{\delta}(Q^c)))^c = k^{-1}((Nint_{\delta}(Q^c))^c) = k^{-1}(Nint_{\delta}(Q))$. Hence $Ncl(k^{-1}(Q)) \subseteq k^{-1}(Nint_{\delta}(Q))$.

Corollary 4.5. A function $k : (S, \tau) \rightarrow (T, \sigma)$ is N_{ACF} iff for each $N_P s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ in S and each N_{δ} -nhd N of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$, the $N_S k^{-1}(N)$ is a neutrosophic q (briefly N^q)-nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$.

proof. Let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ be a N_P in S , and let N be an N_δ -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. Then there exists a N_{RO}^q -nhd Q of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$ such that $Q \subseteq N$. Since k is a N_{ACF} , there exists a N_O^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $k(P) \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Q)) = Q \subseteq N$. Thus, there exists a N_{OS} P such that $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}qP \subseteq k^{-1}(N)$. Hence $k^{-1}(N)$, is a N^q -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$. Conversely, let $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ be a N_P in S , and let Q be a N^q -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. Then, $Nint(Ncl(Q))$ is a N_{RO}^q -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. $Nint(Ncl(Q))$ is a N_δ -nhd of $k(s_{(v,\omega,\xi)})$. By the hypothesis, $k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$ is a N^q -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$. Since, $k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$ is a N^q -nhd of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$, there exists a N_O^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $P \subseteq k^{-1}(Nint(Ncl(Q)))$. Thus, there exists a N_O^q -nhd P of $s_{(v,\omega,\xi)}$ such that $k(P) \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Q))$. Hence, k is a N_{ACF} .

5. Conclusion and future work

The proposed research work defines $N_{\delta-CF}$ and N_{ACF} in N_{TS} . The properties of $N_{\delta-CF}$ and N_{ACF} were discussed in detail. Further, $N_{\delta-CF}$ and N_{ACF} can be derived into functions such as N_δ -strongly continuous and N_δ -perfectly continuous functions in N_{TS} . In addition, to that, it can be extended to N_δ -irresolute functions in N_{TS} .

References

- [1] A. A. Salama and S. A. Alblowi, Neutrosophic set and Neutrosophic topological spaces, Journal of Mathematics(IOSR), 3 (4) (2012), 31–35.
- [2] A. A. Salama, F. Smarandache and K. Valeri, neutrosophic closed set and neutrosophic continuous functions, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems(NSS), 4 (2014), 4–8.
- [3] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 24 (1968), 182–190.
- [4] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 88 (1) (1997), 81–89.
- [5] F. Smarandache, *Neutrosophy. Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic*, ProQuest Information and Learning, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 1998.
- [6] F. Smarandache, *Neutrosophic Set is a Generalization of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, Inconsistent Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (Picture Fuzzy Set, Ternary Fuzzy Set), Pythagorean Fuzzy Set (Atanassov's Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set of second type), q-Rung Orthopair Fuzzy Set, Spherical Fuzzy Set, and n-HyperSpherical Fuzzy Set, while Neutrosophication is a Generalization of Regret Theory, Grey System Theory, and Three-Ways Decision (revisited)*, arXiv, Cornell University, New York City, NY, USA, (Submitted on 17 Nov 2019 (v1), last revised 29 Nov 2019 (this version, v2)).
- [7] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Set - A Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24 (3) (2005), 287–297.
- [8] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1) (1986), 87–96.
- [9] K. Damodharan and M. Vigneshwaran, N_δ -closure and N_δ -interior in Neutrosophic topological spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems(NSS), (2020) communicated.
- [10] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (3) (1965), 338–350.
- [11] S. Ganguly and S. Saha, A note on δ -continuity and δ -connected sets in fuzzy set theory, Simon Stevin (A Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics), 62 (2) (1988), 127–141.
- [12] T. Nandhini and M. Vigneshwaran, On $N_{\alpha g \# \psi}$ -continuous and $N_{\alpha g \# \psi}$ -irresolute functions in Neutrosophic topological spaces, International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE), 7 (6) (2019), 1097–1101.

- [13] Y. S. Eom and S. J. Lee, Intuitionistic fuzzy δ - continuous functions, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems, 13 (4) (2013), 336–344.
- [14] Y. S. Eom and S. J. Lee, Delta closure and delta interior in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems, 12 (4) (2012), 290–295.

(K. Damodharan) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KPR INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY(AUTONOMOUS), COIMBATORE - 641407 INDIA.

E-mail address: `catchmedamo@gmail.com`

(M. Vigneshwaran) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KONGUNADU ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE(AUTONOMOUS), COIMBATORE - 641029 INDIA.

E-mail address, Corresponding author: `vignesh.mat@gmail.com`

(Samer AL Ghour) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOURDAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, IRBIB - 22110 JORDAN.

E-mail address: `algore@just.edu.jo`